

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/774,039	MIKULA, MITCHELL B.	
	Examiner Le Nguyen	Art Unit 2174	

All Participants:

(1) Le Nguyen.
 (2) Lawrence Merkel.

Status of Application: RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL
OFFICE ACTION ENTERED

(3) ____.
 (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 17 September 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A


Claims discussed:
 1-47

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: an amendment by applicant wherein claims 1, 23 and 38 would be amended to include the allowable subject matter